



UNITED STAT Patent and Trausmark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

FILING DATE ANTHONY FENN APPLICATION NUMBER 07/09/97 08/890,490

ATTY. DOCKET NO. F3141(V)

A3M1/1216

PATENT DEPARTMENT UNILEVER UNITED STATES INC 45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER NJ 07020

EXAMINER NESSLER,C PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

1302

DATE MAILED: 12/16/97

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your opposition of the communication from the examiner in charge of your opposition of the charge of your opposition of your opposition of the charge of your opposition opposition of your opposition of your opposition oppositi		
OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY		
Λ <i>I</i> —	•	1
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/9/97		: .
Responsive to continuation of the second of		
This action is FINAL. This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the matter of the process o	ierits is closed in	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal finalers, pro- accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. month		
accordance was an an analysis and an analysis analysis analysis and an analysis analysis analysis analysis ana	will cause	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Extensions of time may be obtained under the the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the 1.136(a).	provisions of 37 CFR	
	are pending in the application.	
Disposition of Glames	withdrawn from consideration.	
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
Disposition of Claims Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	: 1
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	: -
Claim(s) are subject to res	striction or election requirement.	4
Claim(s)are subject to res		
		, ,
Application Papers		
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	Examiner.] approved	
See the attached Notice of Dialispose size is/are objected to 5/ i	approved 🗀 approved	ť.
denuing correction, tiled on	ve	
The proposed drawing contents to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		:
The oath or declaration is objected to 57		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · · · ·
de aloim for foreign priority under 35 0.5.5.3		:
Acknowledgment is made of a ciain for long of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been		
All Some* None of the CENTILE STATE	•	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
*Certified copies not received:	and the same of th	
*Certified copies not received	The second of th	
Acknowled@metrics.uses		
Attachment(s)		
Ched PTO-892	The second second	
Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		. :
Information Disclosure Statement(s), F10-1445		
	and the second s	3.
- Luciommany PTO-413		· .
Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		
Summary PTO-413	WS. GPO: 1998-404-496	3/40517

Serial Number: 08/890490

Art Unit: 1302

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abbreviation "AFP" should be replaced by the full terminology. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1, 5, and 7, the recitation "AFP('s)" should be replaced by the full terminology. In claim 8, the recitation "discrete elements of a confectionary product of claim 5" is considered to be vague and indefinite, since it is not clear which discrete elements are meant. Since the claim appears to recite that some but not all of the elements of the product of claim 5 are present, the claim reads on a frozen confection that does not contain antifreeze peptides. Similarly, the ice cream mix recited in claim 10 need not include the antifreeze peptides, since the ice cream mix "suitable for use in the preparation" of the frozen confectionary product of claim 5 may only include a conventional ice cream mix base to which the antifreeze peptides may later be added.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1302

Page 3 Serial Number: 08/890490

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Clemmings et al, Fletcher et al, or Warren et al, each in view of applicants' admission.

Either Clemmings et al, Fletcher et al, or Warren et al disclose the use of antifreeze peptides in frozen confectionary products and mixes such as ice cream. The claims differ in the recitation of the aspect ratio. As admitted by the applicants in the first paragraph of page 2 of the specification, antifreeze peptides are known for their ability to influence the shape of ice crystals (according to WO 92/22581, a copy of which is requested). The aspect ratio is considered to be a result effective variable, dependent upon, for example, the shape of the ice crystals formed. It would have been obvious to utilize the antifreeze peptides of either Clemmings et al, Fletcher et al, or Warren et al, in the frozen confectionary products of each of the primary references, to influence the shape of the ice crystals formed in order to provide a desired aspect ratio.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia L. Nessler whose telephone number is (703) 308-3843.

ynthia L. Nessler

cn

December 14, 1997